

A trajectorial interpretation of entropy dissipation and a non intrinsic Bakry Emery criterion for diffusion processes

J. Fontbona. CMM* , U. of Chile

June 2012

Oxford-Man Institute

(joint work with Benjamin Jourdain, CERMICS-ENPC)

* Center for Mathematical Modeling

Outline

- 1 Motivation and framework: long-time convergence of Markov processes using functional inequalities (Bakry Emery criterion)
- 2 A pathwise (probabilistic) point of view of entropy dissipation
- 3 Pathwise entropy dissipation for diffusion processes
- 4 Convergence to equilibrium: a new (non intrinsic) Bakry-Emery criterion for exponential trend to equilibrium
- 5 Conclusions, current and future work

- 1 Motivation and framework: long-time convergence of Markov processes using functional inequalities (Bakry Emery criterion)
- 2 A pathwise (probabilistic) point of view of entropy dissipation
- 3 Pathwise entropy dissipation for diffusion processes
- 4 Convergence to equilibrium: a new (non intrinsic) Bakry-Emery criterion for exponential trend to equilibrium
- 5 Conclusions, current and future work

Diffusion process and entropy

$b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d'}$, W d' -dimensional B.M.

$$dX_t = b(X_t) + \sigma(X_t)dW_t \quad \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Markov diffusion with invariant density p_∞ .

- $U : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex and such that $\inf U > -\infty$.
- $H_U(p|q) = \begin{cases} \int_E U \left(\frac{dp}{dq}(x) \right) dq(x) & \text{if } p \ll q \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ U -relative entropy.

Examples: $U(r) = r \ln(r)$, $U(r) = (r - 1)^2$, $U(r) = |r - 1|$.

Entropy decrease: an analytic point of view

Classic argument :

$$\frac{d}{dt} H_U(p_t | p_\infty) = \dots \text{ integ. by parts, PDE} \dots = -\frac{1}{2} I_U(p_t | p_\infty)$$

where $I_U(p_t | p_\infty) =$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U'' \left(\frac{p_t}{p_\infty}(x) \right) \left(\nabla^* \left[\frac{p_t}{p_\infty} \right] a(t, \cdot) \nabla \left[\frac{p_t}{p_\infty} \right] \right) (x) p_\infty(x) dx \geq 0$$

is U -Fisher information ($a = \sigma \sigma^*$) or dissipation of U -entropy.

Bakry-Emery approach to long-time convergence rate

- Bakry Emery Curvature Dimension Criterion (BEC) involving $\mathcal{L}, \Gamma, \Gamma_2$ provide conditions for

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_U(p_t | p_\infty) \leq -2\lambda I_U(p_t | p_\infty)$$

to hold for some $\lambda > 0$. Then $I_U(p_s | p_\infty) \leq e^{-2\lambda s} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty)$.

- $H_U(p_s | p_\infty) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_U(p_t | p_\infty) = \int_s^\infty I_U(p_r | p_\infty) dr \leq \frac{e^{-2\lambda s}}{2\lambda} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty)$.
- if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_U(p_t | p_\infty) = 0$, $s = 0 \Rightarrow$ Convex-Sobolev ineq. :

$$H_U(p_0 | p_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty) \Rightarrow H_U(p_t | p_\infty) \leq e^{-\lambda t} H_U(p_0 | p_\infty).$$

- $U(r) = r \ln r \Rightarrow$ Log-Sobolev, $U(r) = (r - 1)^2 \Rightarrow$ Poincaré.
Both imply $\|p_t - p_\infty\|_{TV} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially fast.

Bakry-Emery approach to long-time convergence rate

- Bakry Emery Curvature Dimension Criterion (BEC) involving $\mathcal{L}, \Gamma, \Gamma_2$ provide conditions for

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_U(p_t | p_\infty) \leq -2\lambda I_U(p_t | p_\infty)$$

to hold for some $\lambda > 0$. Then $I_U(p_s | p_\infty) \leq e^{-2\lambda s} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty)$.

- $H_U(p_s | p_\infty) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_U(p_t | p_\infty) = \int_s^\infty I_U(p_r | p_\infty) dr \leq \frac{e^{-2\lambda s}}{2\lambda} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty)$.
- if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} = 0, s = 0 \Rightarrow$ Convex-Sobolev ineq. :

$$H_U(p_0 | p_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty) \Rightarrow H_U(p_t | p_\infty) \leq e^{-\lambda t} H_U(p_0 | p_\infty)$$

- $U(r) = r \ln r \Rightarrow$ Log-Sobolev, $U(r) = (r - 1)^2 \Rightarrow$ Poincaré.
Both imply $\|p_t - p_\infty\|_{TV} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially fast.

Bakry-Emery approach to long-time convergence rate

- Bakry Emery Curvature Dimension Criterion (BEC) involving $\mathcal{L}, \Gamma, \Gamma_2$ provide conditions for

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_U(p_t | p_\infty) \leq -2\lambda I_U(p_t | p_\infty)$$

to hold for some $\lambda > 0$. Then $I_U(p_s | p_\infty) \leq e^{-2\lambda s} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty)$.

- $H_U(p_s | p_\infty) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_U(p_t | p_\infty) = \int_s^\infty I_U(p_r | p_\infty) dr \leq \frac{e^{-2\lambda s}}{2\lambda} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty)$.
- if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} = 0, s = 0 \Rightarrow$ Convex-Sobolev ineq. :

$$H_U(p_0 | p_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} I_U(p_0 | p_\infty) \Rightarrow H_U(p_t | p_\infty) \leq e^{-\lambda t} H_U(p_0 | p_\infty).$$

- $U(r) = r \ln r \Rightarrow$ Log-Sobolev, $U(r) = (r - 1)^2 \Rightarrow$ Poincaré.
Both imply $\|p_t - p_\infty\|_{TV} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially fast.

- 1 Motivation and framework: long-time convergence of Markov processes using functional inequalities (Bakry Emery criterion)
- 2 A pathwise (probabilistic) point of view of entropy dissipation
- 3 Pathwise entropy dissipation for diffusion processes
- 4 Convergence to equilibrium: a new (non intrinsic) Bakry-Emery criterion for exponential trend to equilibrium
- 5 Conclusions, current and future work

A pathwise probabilistic viewpoint to entropy dissipation

Notation:

- $(X_t : t \geq 0)$ continuous-time Markov process with values in some space E .
- $(X_t^{P_0}, t \geq 0)$ and $(X_t^{Q_0}, t \geq 0)$ version of (X_t) with $X_0^{P_0} \sim P_0$ and $X_0^{Q_0} \sim Q_0$ respectively.
- $P_t := \mathcal{L}(X_t^{P_0})$ and $Q_t := \mathcal{L}(X_t^{Q_0})$.

Proposition 1 .

If for some $t \geq 0$, $P_t \ll Q_t$, then :

- $\mathcal{L}(X_r^{P_0} : r \geq t) \ll \mathcal{L}(X_r^{Q_0} : r \geq t)$ with density $\frac{dP_t}{dQ_t}(X_t^{Q_0})$
- for all $s \geq t$, $P_s \ll Q_s$,
- $\left(\frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0})\right)_{s \geq t}$ is a backward martingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma(X_r^{Q_0}, r \geq s)$.

$\Rightarrow \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dP_t}{dQ_t}(X_t^{Q_0}) \mid \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_s\right)$ exists a.s. and in L^1 .

Proposition 1 .

If for some $t \geq 0$, $P_t \ll Q_t$, then :

- $\mathcal{L}(X_r^{P_0} : r \geq t) \ll \mathcal{L}(X_r^{Q_0} : r \geq t)$ with density $\frac{dP_t}{dQ_t}(X_t^{Q_0})$
- for all $s \geq t$, $P_s \ll Q_s$,
- $\left(\frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0}) \right)_{s \geq t}$ is a backward martingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma(X_r^{Q_0}, r \geq s)$.

$\Rightarrow \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dP_t}{dQ_t}(X_t^{Q_0}) \mid \cap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_s\right)$ exists a.s. and in L^1 .

Entropy decrease:

Corollary

If $H_U(P_t|Q_t) < +\infty$ for some $t \geq 0$, then

- $\left(U\left(\frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0})\right) \right)_{s \geq t}$ is a u.i. backward \mathcal{F}_s -submartingale

$$\Rightarrow s \in [t, \infty) \mapsto H_U(P_s|Q_s) = \mathbb{E} \left(U\left(\frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0})\right) \right) \in [0, \infty)$$

non-increasing.



$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} H_U(P_s|Q_s) = \mathbb{E} \left(U\left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0})\right) \right) < \infty.$$

In particular $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} H_U(P_s|Q_s) = U(1)$ if tail σ -field $\cap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_s$ is trivial a.s.

E.g.: trivial tail σ -field if $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ Feller, positive recurrent with bi-continuous transition densities and $p_\infty > 0$.

Entropy decrease:

Corollary

If $H_U(P_t|Q_t) < +\infty$ for some $t \geq 0$, then

- $\left(U\left(\frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0})\right) \right)_{s \geq t}$ is a u.i. backward \mathcal{F}_s - submartingale

$$\Rightarrow s \in [t, \infty) \mapsto H_U(P_s|Q_s) = \mathbb{E} \left(U \left(\frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0}) \right) \right) \in [0, \infty)$$

non-increasing.



$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} H_U(P_s|Q_s) = \mathbb{E} \left(U \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0}) \right) \right) < \infty.$$

In particular $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} H_U(P_s|Q_s) = U(1)$ if tail σ -field $\cap_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_s$ is trivial a.s.

E.g.: trivial tail σ -field if $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ Feller, positive recurrent with bi-continuous transition densities and $p_\infty > 0$.

Proof of Prop. 1: if $P_t \ll Q_t$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(f(X_r^{P_0}, r \geq t)) &= \int_E \mathbb{E}^{t,x}(f(X_r, r \geq t)) P_t(dx) = \\ &= \int_E \mathbb{E}^{t,x} \left(f(X_r, r \geq t) \frac{dP_t}{dQ_t}(X_t) \right) Q_t(dx) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_r^{Q_0}, r \geq t) \frac{dP_t}{dQ_t}(X_t^{Q_0})).\end{aligned}$$

For $s \geq t$ taking $f(X_r^{P_0}, r \geq t) = f(X_s^{P_0}) \Rightarrow P_s \ll Q_s$.

Moreover

$$\mathbb{E} \left(f(X_r^{P_0}, r \geq s) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(f(X_r^{Q_0}, r \geq s) \frac{dP_t}{dQ_t}(X_t^{Q_0}) \right)$$

and also

$$\mathbb{E} \left(f(X_r^{P_0}, r \geq s) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(f(X_r^{Q_0}, r \geq s) \frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0}) \right).$$

- 1 Motivation and framework: long-time convergence of Markov processes using functional inequalities (Bakry Emery criterion)
- 2 A pathwise (probabilistic) point of view of entropy dissipation
- 3 Pathwise entropy dissipation for diffusion processes**
- 4 Convergence to equilibrium: a new (non intrinsic) Bakry-Emery criterion for exponential trend to equilibrium
- 5 Conclusions, current and future work

Diffusion process:

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t) + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t \quad \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$b : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$\sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d'}, \quad a = \sigma \sigma^* : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \otimes d}.$$

W d' -dimensional BM.

GOAL: describe $\left(U\left(\frac{dP_s}{dQ_s}(X_s^{Q_0})\right) \right)_{0 \leq s \leq T}$ for fixed $T > 0$.

We revert time to work with forward martingales:

- $(Y_t : t \leq T) := (X_{T-t}^{Q_0}, t \leq T)$,
 $\mathcal{G}_t := \sigma(Y_s, 0 \leq s \leq t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ its filtration.
- $\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0} := \mathcal{L}(Y_t : t \leq T) = \mathcal{L}(X_{T-t}^{Q_0}, t \leq T)$
- $\mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0} := \mathcal{L}(X_{T-t}^{P_0}, t \leq T)$

Then

- $P_0 \ll Q_0 \implies \mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0} \ll Q^{T \rightarrow 0}$ with $\frac{d\mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0}}{dQ^{T \rightarrow 0}} = \frac{dP_0}{dQ_0}(Y_T)$. Define

$$D_t^T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d\mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0}}{dQ^{T \rightarrow 0}} \Big|_{\mathcal{G}_t} = \frac{dP_{T-t}}{dQ_{T-t}}(Y_t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$Q^{T \rightarrow 0} - \mathcal{G}_t$ martingale (Girsanov density).

- **Pathwise entropy:**

$$\mathbb{H}_U(\mathbb{P}^{0 \rightarrow T} | \mathbb{Q}^{0 \rightarrow T}) = H_U(P_0 | Q_0) = \mathbb{H}_U(\mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0} | \mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}).$$

Remark: Föllmer ('84), used entropy to study time-reversal of diffusions.

Here we will use time reversal of diffusion processes to study entropy.

Then

- $P_0 \ll Q_0 \implies \mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0} \ll \mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}$ with $\frac{d\mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0}}{d\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}} = \frac{dP_0}{dQ_0}(Y_T)$. Define

$$D_t^T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d\mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0}}{d\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}} \Big|_{\mathcal{G}_t} = \frac{dP_{T-t}}{dQ_{T-t}}(Y_t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0} - \mathcal{G}_t$ martingale (Girsanov density).

- **Pathwise entropy:**

$$\mathbb{H}_U(\mathbb{P}^{0 \rightarrow T} | \mathbb{Q}^{0 \rightarrow T}) = H_U(P_0 | Q_0) = \mathbb{H}_U(\mathbb{P}^{T \rightarrow 0} | \mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}).$$

Remark: Föllmer ('84), used entropy to study time-reversal of diffusions.

Here we will use time reversal of diffusion processes to study entropy.

Conditions for time reversal of diffusions

[Föllmer 84, Haussmann, Pardoux 86, Millet, Nualart, Sanz 89]

- σ, b locally Lipschitz + exp. integ. of derivatives
- $Q_t = \text{law}(X_t^{Q_0}) = q_t(x)dx$
- $\sum_j \partial_j(a_{ij}(t, x)q_t(x))$ in $L^1_{loc}(dx dt)$

then $\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}$ solves the martingale problem

$$M_t^f := f(Y_t) - f(Y_0) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \bar{a}_{ij}(s, Y_s) \partial_{ij} f(Y_s) + \bar{b}_{Q_0}^j(s, Y_s) \partial_j f(Y_s) ds$$

- $\bar{a}_{ij}(t, x) := a_{ij}(T - t, x), i, j = 1, \dots, d,$
- $\bar{b}_{Q_0}^j(t, x) = -b^j(T - t, x) + \frac{\partial_j(a_{ij}(T-t, x)q_{T-t}(x))}{q_{T-t}(x)} \quad (\bar{0} = 0.)$

\implies Girsanov theory provides D_t^T .

Conditions for time reversal of diffusions

[Föllmer 84, Haussmann, Pardoux 86, Millet, Nualart, Sanz 89]

- σ, b locally Lipschitz + exp. integ. of derivatives
- $Q_t = \text{law}(X_t^{Q_0}) = q_t(x)dx$
- $\sum_j \partial_j(a_{ij}(t, x)q_t(x))$ in $L^1_{loc}(dx dt)$

then $\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}$ solves the martingale problem

$$M_t^f := f(Y_t) - f(Y_0) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \bar{a}_{ij}(s, Y_s) \partial_{ij} f(Y_s) + \bar{b}_{Q_0}^j(s, Y_s) \partial_j f(Y_s) ds$$

- $\bar{a}_{ij}(t, x) := a_{ij}(T - t, x), i, j = 1, \dots, d,$
- $\bar{b}_{Q_0}^j(t, x) = -b^j(T - t, x) + \frac{\partial_j(a_{ij}(T-t, x)q_{T-t}(x))}{q_{T-t}(x)} \quad (\bar{0} = 0.)$

\implies Girsanov theory provides D_t^T .

Lemma Assume time reversibility of the diffusion property, and $P_0 \ll Q_0$. Then

- $\nabla \ln \frac{p_t}{q_t}$ and $\nabla \frac{p_t}{q_t}$ do have a meaning.
- Under mild assumptions setting

$$M_t^i := Y_t^i - Y_0^i - \int_0^t \bar{b}_{Q_0}^i(s, Y_s) ds \text{ and}$$

$R := \inf\{s \in [0, T] : D_s^T = 0\}$ (\mathcal{G}_t)-stopping time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^T &= \frac{p_T}{q_T}(Y_0) + \int_0^t \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} \cdot dM_s \\ &= \frac{p_T}{q_T}(Y_0) + \int_0^t \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}}(Y_s) > 0 \right\}} \cdot dM_s \quad \mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0} \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle D^T \rangle_t = \int_0^t \left(\nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \right)^* \bar{a}(s, Y_s) \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} ds (< \infty).$$

Lemma Assume time reversibility of the diffusion property, and $P_0 \ll Q_0$. Then

- $\nabla \ln \frac{p_t}{q_t}$ and $\nabla \frac{p_t}{q_t}$ do have a meaning.
- Under mild assumptions setting

$$M_t^i := Y_t^i - Y_0^i - \int_0^t \bar{b}_{Q_0}^i(s, Y_s) ds \text{ and}$$

$R := \inf\{s \in [0, T] : D_s^T = 0\}$ (\mathcal{G}_t)-stopping time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^T &= \frac{p_T}{q_T}(Y_0) + \int_0^t \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} \cdot dM_s \\ &= \frac{p_T}{q_T}(Y_0) + \int_0^t \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}}(Y_s) > 0 \right\}} \cdot dM_s \quad \mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0} \text{ a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle D^T \rangle_t = \int_0^t \left(\nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \right)^* \bar{a}(s, Y_s) \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} ds (< \infty).$$

Lemma Assume time reversibility of the diffusion property, and $P_0 \ll Q_0$. Then

- $\nabla \ln \frac{p_t}{q_t}$ and $\nabla \frac{p_t}{q_t}$ do have a meaning.
- Under mild assumptions setting

$$M_t^i := Y_t^i - Y_0^i - \int_0^t \bar{b}_{Q_0}^i(s, Y_s) ds \text{ and}$$

$R := \inf\{s \in [0, T] : D_s^T = 0\}$ (\mathcal{G}_t)-stopping time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^T &= \frac{p_T}{q_T}(Y_0) + \int_0^t \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} \cdot dM_s \\ &= \frac{p_T}{q_T}(Y_0) + \int_0^t \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}}(Y_s) > 0 \right\}} \cdot dM_s \quad \mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0} \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle D^T \rangle_t = \int_0^t \left(\nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \right)^* \bar{a}(s, Y_s) \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} ds (< \infty).$$

Lemma Assume time reversibility of the diffusion property, and $P_0 \ll Q_0$. Then

- $\nabla \ln \frac{p_t}{q_t}$ and $\nabla \frac{p_t}{q_t}$ do have a meaning.
- Under mild assumptions setting

$$M_t^i := Y_t^i - Y_0^i - \int_0^t \bar{b}_{Q_0}^i(s, Y_s) ds \text{ and}$$

$R := \inf\{s \in [0, T] : D_s^T = 0\}$ (\mathcal{G}_t)-stopping time, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^T &= \frac{p_T}{q_T}(Y_0) + \int_0^t \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} \cdot dM_s \\ &= \frac{p_T}{q_T}(Y_0) + \int_0^t \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}}(Y_s) > 0 \right\}} \cdot dM_s \quad \mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0} \text{ a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle D^T \rangle_t = \int_0^t \left(\nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \right)^* \bar{a}(s, Y_s) \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} ds (< \infty).$$

- $\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}$ -a.s. $\forall t \in [0, T]$,

$$D_t^T = \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \frac{dp_T}{dq_T}(Y_0) \times$$

$$\exp \left\{ \int_0^t \nabla \left[\ln \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \cdot dM_s \right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\nabla \left[\ln \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \right)^* \bar{a}(s, Y_s) \nabla \left[\ln \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) ds \right\}.$$

where

$$\tau := \inf \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \int_0^t \left(\nabla \left[\ln \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \right)^* \bar{a}(s, Y_s) \nabla \left[\ln \frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) ds = \infty \right\},$$

and one has $R = \tau \wedge \tau^0$ where $\tau^0 := 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{D_0^T=0} + \infty \cdot \mathbf{1}_{D_0^T>0}$

(related ideas: stochastic construction of Nelson processes

Cattiaux-Léonard,.....~ 95)

Stochastic U -entropy dissipation formula

Theorem 1 (F.-Jourdain)

Assume $H_U(P_0|Q_0) < \infty$ (+) mild assumptions. The $\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0} - \mathcal{G}_t$ submartingale $(U(D_t^T))_{t \in [0, T]}$ has Doob-Meyer decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} U(D_t^T) &= U(D_0^T) + \int_0^t U'_-(D_s^T) \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} \cdot dM_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{(0, +\infty)} L_t^r(D^T) U''(dr) - \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < R \leq t\}} \Delta U(0), \end{aligned}$$

where $L_t^r(D^T)$ is the local time at level $r \geq 0$ and time t of $(D_s^T)_{s \in [0, T]}$ and $\Delta U(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} U(x) - U(0) \leq 0$.

In particular, if U is continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ and C^2 on $(0, +\infty)$,
 $\forall t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(D_t^T) &= U(D_0^T) + \int_0^t U'(D_s^T) \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} \cdot dM_s \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t U'' \left(\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}}(Y_s) \right) \left(\nabla^* \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] \bar{a}(s, \cdot) \nabla \left[\frac{p_{T-s}}{q_{T-s}} \right] \right) (Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{s < R} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation w.r.t. $\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}$, $t = 0$, we get

U - entropy dissipation:

$$H_U(P_T|Q_T) = H_U(P_0|Q_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{(0,+\infty)} \mathbb{E}^{T \rightarrow 0} \left(L_T^r(D^T) \right) U''(dr) \\ + \Delta U(0) \mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0}(0 < R \leq T).$$

Moreover

$$H_U(P_T|Q_T) = H_U(P_0|Q_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{(0,+\infty)} \mathbb{E}^{0 \rightarrow T} \left(L_T^r \left(\frac{p_\cdot}{q_\cdot}(X^Q) \right) \right) U''(dr) \\ + \Delta U(0) \mathbb{Q}^{0 \rightarrow T}(0 < S \leq T)$$

if $\frac{p_s}{q_s}(X_s^Q)$ semimartingale, where $S := \sup\{t \geq 0 : \frac{p_t}{q_t}(X_t^Q) = 0\} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \frac{p_t}{q_t}(X_t^Q) > 0\}$ is stopping time.

U - entropy dissipation:

In particular if U is continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ and C^2 on $(0, +\infty)$, we recover the well known formula, for arbitrary initial laws:

$$H_U(P_T|Q_T) = H_U(P_0|Q_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\{\frac{p_s}{q_s}(x) > 0\}} \underbrace{U''\left(\frac{p_s}{q_s}(x)\right) \left(\nabla^* \left[\frac{p_s}{q_s}\right] a(s, \cdot) \nabla \left[\frac{p_s}{q_s}\right]\right)(x)}_{I_U(p_s|q_s) \text{ U-Fisher information}} q_s(x) dx ds$$

Corollary: Dissipation of total variation

1) For the choice $U(x) = |x - 1|$,

$$\|P_T - Q_T\|_{TV} = \|P_0 - Q_0\|_{TV} - \mathbb{E}^{0 \rightarrow T} \left(L_T^1 \left(\frac{p}{q}(X^Q) \right) \right).$$

2) (+) mild additional assumptions : $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_T - Q_T\|_{TV} &= \|P_0 - Q_0\|_{TV} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\text{sign}} \left(\frac{p_s}{q_s} - 1 \right) (x) \nabla \cdot \left[a(s, x) \nabla \left[\frac{p_s}{q_s} \right] (x) q_s(x) \right] dx ds \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\text{sign}}(r) = -\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(r) + \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(r)$ and the integral is non positive.

Corollary: Dissipation of total variation

1) For the choice $U(x) = |x - 1|$,

$$\|P_T - Q_T\|_{TV} = \|P_0 - Q_0\|_{TV} - \mathbb{E}^{0 \rightarrow T} \left(L_T^1 \left(\frac{p}{q}(X^Q) \right) \right).$$

2) (+) mild additional assumptions : $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_T - Q_T\|_{TV} &= \|P_0 - Q_0\|_{TV} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{\text{sign}} \left(\frac{p_s}{q_s} - 1 \right) (x) \nabla \cdot \left[a(s, x) \nabla \left[\frac{p_s}{q_s} \right] (x) q_s(x) \right] dx ds \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\text{sign}}(r) = -\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(r) + \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(r)$ and the integral is non positive.

1 Motivation and framework: long-time convergence of Markov processes using functional inequalities (Bakry Emery criterion)

2 A pathwise (probabilistic) point of view of entropy dissipation

3 Pathwise entropy dissipation for diffusion processes

4 Convergence to equilibrium: a new (non intrinsic) Bakry-Emery criterion for exponential trend to equilibrium

5 Conclusions, current and future work

When $q_0 = p_\infty$ we have :

$$\frac{d}{dt} H_U(p_t | p_\infty) = -\frac{1}{2} I_U(p_t | p_\infty)$$

where U -Fisher information is $I_U(p_t | p_\infty) =$

$$\int_{\left\{\frac{p_t}{p_\infty}(x) > 0\right\}} U'' \left(\frac{p_t}{p_\infty}(x) \right) \left(\nabla^* \left[\frac{p_t}{p_\infty} \right] a(t, \cdot) \nabla \left[\frac{p_t}{p_\infty} \right] \right) (x) p_\infty(x) dx.$$

As in Bakry Emery criterion, we look for

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_U(p_t | p_\infty) \leq -2\lambda I_U(p_t | p_\infty)$$

to hold for some $\lambda > 0$

A Bakry Emery type criterion relying on the choice of σ

We assume

- regular time-homogeneous coefficients $\sigma(x)$ and $b(x)$ (+) growth conditions .
- $\frac{Q_0(dx)}{dx} = p_\infty(x) > 0$ regular invariant (non necessarily reversible) density.
- $\mathbb{Q}^{T \rightarrow 0} = \mathbb{P}_\infty^{T \rightarrow 0}$ stationary time reverted law.
- $U : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ convex of class C^4 on $(0, +\infty)$, continuous on $[0, +\infty)$, such that $U(1) = U'(1) = 0$ and

$$(U^{(3)}(r))^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} U''(r) U^{(4)}(r)$$

($\Leftrightarrow 1/(U'')$ concave. “Admissible entropies”, Arnold et al. 01, Chafaï 04)

Theorem 2 (F.-Jourdain 2011)

Let

$$\Theta_{ll'} = \sigma_{l'i} [\bar{b}_m \partial_m \sigma_{li} + \frac{1}{2} a_{mk} \partial_{mk} \sigma_{li}] - a_{kl'} \partial_k \bar{b}_l \\ + (\sigma_{ki} a_{ml'} - \sigma_{l'i} a_{mk}) \partial_m \sigma_{li} \partial_k \ln(p_\infty) + \partial_k [(\sigma_{ki} a_{ml'} - \sigma_{l'i} a_{mk}) \partial_m \sigma_{li}]$$

If Θ satisfies the “non-intrinsic Bakry Emery Criterion ”

$$\text{NIBEC)} \quad \exists \lambda > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \frac{1}{2}(\Theta + \Theta^*)(x) \geq \lambda a(x)$$

then $\frac{d}{dt} I_U(p_t | p_\infty) \leq -2\lambda I_U(p_t | p_\infty)$ and $H_U(p_t | p_\infty) \rightarrow$ at exponential rate λ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

If moreover a is strictly elliptic OR hypoellipticity conditions hold, then the limit is 0 and the convex Sobolev inequality

$$H_U(p | p_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} I_U(p | p_\infty) \text{ holds for all probability density } p \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\Theta + \Theta^*) &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_m\partial_m\mathbf{a}_{ll'} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_{kl'}\partial_k\mathbf{b}_l + \mathbf{a}_{kl}\partial_k\mathbf{b}_{l'}) - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{a}_{mk}\partial_{mk}\mathbf{a}_{ll'} - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_{kl'}\partial_{kj}\mathbf{a}_{lj} + \mathbf{a}_{kl}\partial_{kj}\mathbf{a}_{l'j}) \\ &- \mathbf{a}_{kl}\mathbf{a}_{jl'}\partial_{kj}\ln(p_\infty) - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{a}_{kl}\partial_k\mathbf{a}_{l'j} + \mathbf{a}_{kl'}\partial_k\mathbf{a}_{lj})\partial_j\ln(p_\infty) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{a}_{mk}\partial_m\sigma_{li}\partial_k\sigma_{l'i} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ki}(\partial_m\sigma_{li}\mathbf{a}_{ml'} + \partial_m\sigma_{l'i}\mathbf{a}_{ml})\partial_k\ln(p_\infty) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_k[\sigma_{ki}(\partial_m\sigma_{li}\mathbf{a}_{ml'} + \partial_m\sigma_{l'i}\mathbf{a}_{ml})] \end{aligned}$$

Remark

- i) Θ cannot be written without using square root σ and depends on its choice (compare to BEC only depending on \mathcal{L}).
- ii) a non singular is not needed.
- iii) In case $a = 2\nu l_d$ and $b = -(\nabla V + F)$ with F such that $\nabla \cdot (e^{-V/\nu} F) = 0$, then $p_\infty \propto e^{-V/\nu}$, $\bar{b} = -b + 2\nu \nabla \ln p_\infty = -\nabla V + F$ and $\Theta = 2\nu(\nabla^2 V - \nabla F)$.

For the choice $\sigma = \sqrt{2\nu} l_d$, then NIBEC) writes

$$\exists \lambda > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \nabla^2 V(x) - \frac{\nabla F + \nabla F^*}{2}(x) \geq \lambda l_d$$

which is exactly condition of Bakry ('92) and Arnold, Carlen and Ju ('08) for non symmetric diffusions **but other choices of σ are possible with our criterion!**

Remark

- i) Θ cannot be written without using square root σ and depends on its choice (compare to BEC only depending on \mathcal{L}).
- ii) a non singular is not needed.
- iii) In case $a = 2\nu l_d$ and $b = -(\nabla V + F)$ with F such that $\nabla \cdot (e^{-V/\nu} F) = 0$, then $p_\infty \propto e^{-V/\nu}$,
 $\bar{b} = -b + 2\nu \nabla \ln p_\infty = -\nabla V + F$ and $\Theta = 2\nu(\nabla^2 V - \nabla F)$.

For the choice $\sigma = \sqrt{2\nu} l_d$, then *NIBEC*) writes

$$\exists \lambda > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \nabla^2 V(x) - \frac{\nabla F + \nabla F^*}{2}(x) \geq \lambda l_d$$

which is exactly condition of Bakry ('92) and Arnold, Carlen and Ju ('08) for non symmetric diffusions **but other choices of σ are possible with our criterion!**

Example

$d = 2$ and for each $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$a(x_1, x_2) = I_2, \quad \text{and} \quad b(x_1, x_2) = -\nabla V(x_1, x_2)$$

with V convex C^2 potential

$$V(x_1, x_2) := |x_1|^2 + |x_1 - x_2|^{2+\alpha} + |x_2|^{2+\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Distribution : $p_\infty \propto e^{-2V}$

- Classic Bakry Emery criterion $\nabla^2 V \geq \lambda I_2$ for $\lambda > 0$ fails at $(0, 0)$.
- Holley-Stroock perturbation argument provides Log-Sobolev inequality
- Arnold, Carlen & Ju (08) obtain convex Sob. inequality, first for a non-reversible diffusion with same stationary law (add drift F such that $\nabla \cdot (F p_\infty) = 0$), then come back to $F = 0$.

Example

$d = 2$ and for each $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$a(x_1, x_2) = I_2, \quad \text{and} \quad b(x_1, x_2) = -\nabla V(x_1, x_2)$$

with V convex C^2 potential

$$V(x_1, x_2) := |x_1|^2 + |x_1 - x_2|^{2+\alpha} + |x_2|^{2+\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Distribution : $p_\infty \propto e^{-2V}$

- Classic Bakry Emery criterion $\nabla^2 V \geq \lambda I_2$ for $\lambda > 0$ fails at $(0, 0)$.
- Holley-Stroock perturbation argument provides Log-Sobolev inequality
- Arnold, Carlen & Ju (08) obtain convex Sob. inequality, first for a non-reversible diffusion with same stationary law (add drift F such that $\nabla \cdot (F p_\infty) = 0$), then come back to $F = 0$.

Example

$d = 2$ and for each $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$a(x_1, x_2) = I_2, \quad \text{and} \quad b(x_1, x_2) = -\nabla V(x_1, x_2)$$

with V convex C^2 potential

$$V(x_1, x_2) := |x_1|^2 + |x_1 - x_2|^{2+\alpha} + |x_2|^{2+\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Distribution : $p_\infty \propto e^{-2V}$

- Classic Bakry Emery criterion $\nabla^2 V \geq \lambda I_2$ for $\lambda > 0$ fails at $(0, 0)$.
- Holley-Stroock perturbation argument provides Log-Sobolev inequality
- Arnold, Carlen & Ju (08) obtain convex Sob.inequality, first for a non-reversible diffusion with same stationary law (add drift F such that $\nabla \cdot (F p_\infty) = 0$), then come back to $F = 0$.

With our method

choose square root σ of the identity matrix of the form

$$\sigma(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi(x_1, x_2) & \sin \phi(x_1, x_2) \\ -\sin \phi(x_1, x_2) & \cos \phi(x_1, x_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

(law of the diffusion processes not modified). Take

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = -\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon(x_1) \varphi_\varepsilon(x_2), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

where $\varphi_\varepsilon(s) = \varepsilon \varphi(s/\varepsilon)$ and $\varphi(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |s| \geq 2. \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\Theta + \Theta^*) &= \nabla^2 V - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 I_2 + \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{12} \phi & \frac{\partial_{22} \phi - \partial_{11} \phi}{2} \\ \frac{\partial_{22} \phi - \partial_{11} \phi}{2} & -\partial_{12} \phi \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} -2\partial_1 \phi \partial_2 V & \partial_1 \phi \partial_1 V - \partial_2 \phi \partial_2 V \\ \partial_1 \phi \partial_1 V - \partial_2 \phi \partial_2 V & 2\partial_2 \phi \partial_1 V \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Theta + \Theta^*)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \nabla^2 V + \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} + O(\varepsilon^3) \geq \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} + O(\varepsilon^3) & \text{on } B_\varepsilon \\ \nabla^2 V + O(\varepsilon) \geq (2 + \alpha)(1 + \alpha)\varepsilon^\alpha I_2 + o(\varepsilon^\alpha) & \text{on } B_{2\varepsilon} \setminus B_\varepsilon \\ \geq I_2((2 + \alpha)(1 + \alpha)(2\varepsilon)^\alpha) \wedge 2 & \text{on } B_\varepsilon^c \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow **NIBEC** holds for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$

Proof of Th. 2 . Step 1

Proposition 2:

Let $\rho_t := \rho_{T-t}/\rho_\infty$ and all functions be computed at (t, Y_t) . Then

$$d [U''(\rho_t)\nabla^* \rho_t \mathbf{a} \nabla \rho_t] (Y_t) = \text{tr}(\Lambda \Gamma) dt + U''(\rho) \bar{\theta} dt + d\hat{M}$$

with Λ and Γ square matrices $\Lambda := \begin{bmatrix} U''(\rho) & U^{(3)}(\rho) \\ U^{(3)}(\rho) & \frac{1}{2}U^{(4)}(\rho) \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$

$$\Gamma := \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^*(\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla \rho) \mathbf{a} \nabla (\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla \rho) & (\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla \rho) \nabla^* \rho \mathbf{a} \nabla (\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla \rho) \\ (\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla \rho) \nabla^* \rho \mathbf{a} \nabla (\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla \rho) & |\nabla^* \rho \mathbf{a} \nabla \rho|^2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta} = 2 \left\{ \partial_{l'} \rho \partial_{l\rho} \left(\sigma_{l'i} \left[\bar{b}_m \partial_m \sigma_{li} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{mk} \partial_{mk} \sigma_{li} \right] - \mathbf{a}_{ml'} \partial_m \bar{b}_l \right) \right. \\ \left. + [\sigma_{l'i} \mathbf{a}_{mk} - \sigma_{ki} \mathbf{a}_{ml'}] \partial_{l'} \rho \partial_m \sigma_{li} \partial_{kl\rho} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- **Goal:** bound (in mean) $tr(\Lambda\Gamma)dt + U''(\rho)\bar{\theta}dt + d\hat{M}$ from below by

$$2\lambda [U''(\rho_t)\nabla^*\rho_t a\nabla\rho_t] (Y_t)$$

- Remark: all terms **but** Γ_{11} equal to those in Arnold et al. (08). **But our Γ_{11} cannot be written without making use of σ .**
- **In our case** $det(\Gamma) \geq 0$ by **Cauchy-Schwarz:**

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla\rho)\nabla^*\rho a\nabla(\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla\rho))^2 &= ((\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla\rho)\sigma^*\nabla\rho \cdot \sigma^*\nabla(\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla\rho))^2 \\ &\leq \sum_i (\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla\rho)^2 |\sigma^*\nabla\rho|^2 \sum_i |\sigma^*\nabla(\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla\rho)|^2 \\ &= |\nabla^*\rho a\nabla\rho|^2 \times \nabla^*(\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla\rho) a\nabla(\sigma_{\bullet i} \cdot \nabla\rho). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce $\Gamma \geq 0$ and since $\Lambda \geq 0$, we get $tr(\Lambda\Gamma) \geq 0$

$$\implies d [U''(\rho)\nabla^*\rho a\nabla\rho] \geq U''(\rho)\bar{\theta}dt + d\hat{M}$$

Remark: $\bar{\theta}$ depends on $\partial_{kl}\rho$

Sketch of proof of Prop. 2:

- Stochastic flow

$$d\xi_t^i(x) = \sigma_{ik}(\xi_t(x))d\bar{W}_t^k + \bar{b}_i(\xi_t(x))dt, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \xi_0(x) = x \quad (\xi_t(Y_0) = Y_t).$$

- $D_t^T = \rho_t(\xi_t(Y_0))$ desintegrates into the continuous $\mathcal{G}_t - \mathbb{P}_\infty^{T \rightarrow 0}$ martingales $(D_t(x) = \rho_t(\xi_t(x)))_{t \in [0, T]}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, satisfying

$$dD_t(x) := [\sigma_{ik}\partial_i\rho](t, \xi_t(x))d\bar{W}_t^k, \quad D_0(x) = \frac{\rho_T}{\rho_\infty}(x) = \rho_0(x).$$

- Then $\nabla_x[D_t(x)] = \nabla_x\xi_t(x) \cdot \nabla[\rho_t](\xi_t(x))$

Use SDE for $d(\nabla_x\xi_t(x))^{-1}$ and Itô product rule to get $\nabla[\rho_t](\xi_t(x))$.

- For $U''(\rho)\nabla^*\rho_t a \nabla\rho_t$ use Itô product rule product rule like this:

$$U''(\rho)(\sigma^*\nabla\rho_t) \cdot (\sigma^*\nabla\rho_t)$$

(otherwise, one can recover the A.C.J criterion).

Sketch of proof of Prop. 2:

- Stochastic flow

$$d\xi_t^i(x) = \sigma_{ik}(\xi_t(x))d\bar{W}_t^k + \bar{b}_i(\xi_t(x))dt, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \xi_0(x) = x \quad (\xi_t(Y_0) = Y_t).$$

- $D_t^T = \rho_t(\xi_t(Y_0))$ desintegrates into the continuous $\mathcal{G}_t - \mathbb{P}_\infty^{T \rightarrow 0}$ -martingales $(D_t(x) = \rho_t(\xi_t(x)))_{t \in [0, T]}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, satisfying

$$dD_t(x) := [\sigma_{ik}\partial_i\rho](t, \xi_t(x))d\bar{W}_t^k, \quad D_0(x) = \frac{\rho_T}{\rho_\infty}(x) = \rho_0(x).$$

- Then $\nabla_x[D_t(x)] = \nabla_x\xi_t(x) \cdot \nabla[\rho_t](\xi_t(x))$

Use SDE for $d(\nabla_x\xi_t(x))^{-1}$ and Itô product rule to get $\nabla[\rho_t](\xi_t(x))$.

- For $U''(\rho)\nabla^*\rho_t a \nabla\rho_t$ use Itô product rule like this:

$$U''(\rho)(\sigma^*\nabla\rho_t) \cdot (\sigma^*\nabla\rho_t)$$

(otherwise, one can recover the A.C.J criterion).

Sketch of proof of Prop. 2:

- Stochastic flow

$$d\xi_t^i(x) = \sigma_{ik}(\xi_t(x))d\bar{W}_t^k + \bar{b}_i(\xi_t(x))dt, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \xi_0(x) = x \quad (\xi_t(Y_0) = Y_t).$$

- $D_t^T = \rho_t(\xi_t(Y_0))$ desintegrates into the continuous $\mathcal{G}_t - \mathbb{P}_\infty^{T \rightarrow 0}$ -martingales $(D_t(x) = \rho_t(\xi_t(x)))_{t \in [0, T]}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, satisfying

$$dD_t(x) := [\sigma_{ik}\partial_i\rho](t, \xi_t(x))d\bar{W}_t^k, \quad D_0(x) = \frac{\rho_T}{\rho_\infty}(x) = \rho_0(x).$$

- Then $\nabla_x[D_t(x)] = \nabla_x\xi_t(x) \cdot \nabla[\rho_t](\xi_t(x))$

Use SDE for $d(\nabla_x\xi_t(x))^{-1}$ and Itô product rule to get $\nabla[\rho_t](\xi_t(x))$.

- For $U''(\rho)\nabla^*\rho_t a \nabla\rho_t$ use Itô product rule like this:

$$U''(\rho)(\sigma^*\nabla\rho_t) \cdot (\sigma^*\nabla\rho_t)$$

(otherwise, one can recover the A.C.J criterion).

Sketch of proof of Prop. 2:

- Stochastic flow

$$d\xi_t^i(x) = \sigma_{ik}(\xi_t(x))d\bar{W}_t^k + \bar{b}_i(\xi_t(x))dt, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \xi_0(x) = x \quad (\xi_t(Y_0) = Y_t).$$

- $D_t^T = \rho_t(\xi_t(Y_0))$ desintegrates into the continuous $\mathcal{G}_t - \mathbb{P}_\infty^{T \rightarrow 0}$ -martingales $(D_t(x) = \rho_t(\xi_t(x)))_{t \in [0, T]}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, satisfying

$$dD_t(x) := [\sigma_{ik}\partial_i\rho](t, \xi_t(x))d\bar{W}_t^k, \quad D_0(x) = \frac{\rho_T}{\rho_\infty}(x) = \rho_0(x).$$

- Then $\nabla_x[D_t(x)] = \nabla_x\xi_t(x) \cdot \nabla[\rho_t](\xi_t(x))$

Use SDE for $d(\nabla_x\xi_t(x))^{-1}$ and Itô product rule to get $\nabla[\rho_t](\xi_t(x))$.

- For $U''(\rho)\nabla^*\rho_t a \nabla\rho_t$ use Itô product rule product rule like this:

$$U''(\rho)(\sigma^*\nabla\rho_t) \cdot (\sigma^*\nabla\rho_t)$$

(otherwise, one can recover the A.C.J criterion).

Proof of Th. 2 . Step 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d[U''(\rho)\nabla^* \rho a \nabla \rho](t, Y_t) \\
 & \geq d\hat{M}_t + 2\partial_{kl}\rho \partial_{l'}\rho U''(\rho) [\sigma_{l'i} \mathbf{a}_{mk} - \sigma_{ki} \mathbf{a}_{ml'}] \partial_m \sigma_{li} dt \\
 & + 2U''(\rho) \partial_{l'}\rho \partial_{l\rho} \left(\sigma_{l'i} \left[\bar{b}_m \partial_m \sigma_{li} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{mk} \partial_{mk} \sigma_{li} \right] - \mathbf{a}_{ml'} \partial_m \bar{b}_l \right) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Take expectations under $\mathbb{P}_\infty^{T \rightarrow 0}$. Term with 2nd order derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{kl}\rho \partial_{l'}\rho U''(\rho) [\sigma_{l'i} \mathbf{a}_{mk} - \sigma_{ki} \mathbf{a}_{ml'}] \partial_m \sigma_{li} p_\infty dx = \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{l\rho} \partial_{l'}\rho U''(\rho) [\sigma_{l'i} \mathbf{a}_{mk} - \sigma_{ki} \mathbf{a}_{ml'}] \partial_m \sigma_{li} \partial_k (\ln p_\infty) p_\infty dx \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{l\rho} \partial_{l'}\rho U''(\rho) \partial_k ([\sigma_{l'i} \mathbf{a}_{mk} - \sigma_{ki} \mathbf{a}_{ml'}] \partial_m \sigma_{li}) p_\infty dx
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\partial_{kl'}\rho [\sigma_{l'i} \mathbf{a}_{mk} - \sigma_{ki} \mathbf{a}_{ml'}] = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\partial_k(U''(\rho)) \partial_{l'}\rho [\sigma_{l'i} \mathbf{a}_{mk} - \sigma_{ki} \mathbf{a}_{ml'}] = U^{(3)}(\rho) \partial_k \rho \partial_{l'}\rho [\sigma_{l'i} \mathbf{a}_{mk} - \sigma_{ki} \mathbf{a}_{ml'}] = 0.$$

- 1 Motivation and framework: long-time convergence of Markov processes using functional inequalities (Bakry Emery criterion)
- 2 A pathwise (probabilistic) point of view of entropy dissipation
- 3 Pathwise entropy dissipation for diffusion processes
- 4 Convergence to equilibrium: a new (non intrinsic) Bakry-Emery criterion for exponential trend to equilibrium
- 5 Conclusions, current and future work

Conclusions

- pathwise point of view provides further probabilistic insight of long-time behavior
- choice of the square root of the diffusion matrix (Hörmander vector fields) might improve bounds on the convergence rate.

Work in progress and open questions

- Current work : general method for good choice of the square root?
- Predictable optimal choice of $\sigma(t, X_t, \omega)$?

Idea: “ Lift ” the SDE to orthogonal group \Rightarrow SDE for (X_t, σ_t)

(as in Cruzeiro, Malliavin & Thalmaier '04: “Geometrization” of the Milstein scheme)

- Hypocoercivity?

Thank you!